

# TekSavvy Solutions Inc.

## Quarterly Transparency Report

Reporting period: July 1, 2024 to September 30, 2024

Published April 2025

### Introduction

The purpose of this report from TekSavvy is to provide insight into our disclosure practices by detailing how often we receive and respond to government agencies' requests for the personal information of our end users.

This edition of our Transparency Report contains information about requests that we received between July 1, 2024 to September 30, 2024. As of the date when this report was published, at least six months have passed since we received or responded to each of those requests.

The Government of Canada has endorsed the development and issuance of transparency reports. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has subsequently developed [Transparency Reporting Guidelines](#). Although we have adopted most of those Guidelines, we have decided to deviate in respect of how we present the data.

Specifically, ISED suggested enumerating requests only when the number of requests exceed 100; anything below 100 is suggested to be represented by a range of 0-100. While that threshold may make sense for larger telecommunications companies, TekSavvy is still relatively small. We do not receive hundreds of requests from government agencies therefore enumerating requests in a manner consistent with ISED's Guidelines would not provide the level of transparency that we aim to achieve.



© 2025 by TekSavvy Solutions Inc.  
This report is made available under the Creative Commons  
Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International Licence.  
To view a copy of this licence, visit  
<https://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

## Overview

**In total, from July 1, 2024 to September 30, 2024, TekSavvy received 40 requests for information from government agencies. TekSavvy provided the requested information in response to 22 of those requests which represents a response rate of 55%.**

In this report, the total number of requests for information from government agencies has been broken down into two main categories: by authority and by jurisdiction.

“Authority” indicates whether or not the government agency making the request has done so in accordance with the law. We have broken down the data by authority to include four different subcategories of disclosures: court ordered, emergency (sometimes called “exigent”), informal requests, administrative requests, and disclosures made at TekSavvy’s own initiative.

“Jurisdiction” indicates what level of government the requests came from. We have broken down the data by jurisdiction to include three subcategories: provincial (which includes municipal), federal, and non-Canadian.

The data contained in each subcategory is enumerated by the number of requests of each type we received, the number of those requests that we disclosed information in response to, and the number of requests we rejected during the relevant reporting period.

Following the table below, we examine our disclosure policies and practices to provide an in-depth explanation of the data.

By Authority					
Type of Request		Number of Requests	Number of Disclosures	No Relevant Information	Number Rejected
1	Court Ordered	27	14	13	0
2	Exigent or Emergency	7	7	0	0
3	Informal	~5	0	n/a	All (~5)
4	Administrative	1	1	0	0
5	TekSavvy’s initiative	n/a	0	n/a	n/a

By Jurisdiction					
Type of Request		Number of Requests	Number of Disclosures	No Relevant Information	Number Rejected
6	Provincial Government Agencies	36	20	13	3
7	Federal Government Agencies	4	2	0	2
8	Foreign Government Agencies	0	0	0	0

**Total: 40**

**Total: 22**

**Total: 13**

**Total: 5**

## Requests Received, by Authority

### 1) Court Ordered Requests

**Of the 40 requests received during this reporting period,  
27 were court ordered requests.**

**TekSavvy provided the requested information  
in response to 14 of those requests.**

This category describes any requests for information from government agencies made pursuant to a court order. The most common kind of court orders received by TekSavvy during the relevant period were “Production Orders” pursuant to section 487.014 of the *Criminal Code*.

At 52%, the disclosure percentage in this particular subcategory during this reporting period remains on the lower side since we first reported the decrease in Q1 2024’s report. TekSavvy is legally required to comply with Canadian court orders, so if we have information that is responsive to a court order, we disclose it provided the court order is compliant with the relevant legislation and is not overreaching or overbroad. The low rate of disclosures in this report is largely due to TekSavvy not having responsive information in many cases, and continues to reflect the shift to relatively more orders coming from Provincial and Municipal authorities than from Federal authorities.

In the event that we are served with a court order that omits required information, is formatted incorrectly, is overreaching, or overbroad, we make our best attempts to work with the agency requesting the information to appropriately address any issues. However, we may reject the request if we are unable to reach a mutual understanding. During the relevant period, we did not reject any Production Orders for these reasons.

In some cases, TekSavvy may not have information responsive to court orders. This is most often the case when the law enforcement agency provides an IP address that was in use by a TekSavvy customer, but for which TekSavvy does not have logs, most likely because the requested time period was so long ago that we deleted those logs before we received the request or order.

#### **TekSavvy Policy**

**TekSavvy only retains information that correlates a subscriber with an electronic address (IP address) for a period of 30 days after that IP address is no longer associated with that specific subscriber. Some of our Transparency Reports indicate that we did not provide the requested information for all of the court orders we received, which is generally because we no longer had any information that was responsive to some of those orders.**

## 2) Emergency (Exigent) Requests

**Of the 40 requests received during this reporting period, 7 were requests for information in relation to exigent or emergency circumstances. TekSavvy provided the requested information in response to all 7 of those requests.**

This category includes all requests made in relation to “*an emergency that threatens the life, health or security of an individual*” as set out in subsection 7(3)(e) of *PIPEDA* which allows organizations such as TekSavvy to disclose a subscriber’s personal information without their knowledge or consent.

### **TekSavvy Policy**

**Before disclosing information in response to an exigent or emergency request, TekSavvy requires the agency making the request to answer a series of questions intended to establish the urgency of the request and the importance of the requested information. This approach ensures compliance with subsection 7(3)(e) of PIPEDA before we disclose the requested information.**

For additional information regarding how TekSavvy responds to requests made in emergency or exigent circumstances, please refer to our [Law Enforcement Guide](#).

### 3) Informal Requests

**Of the 40 requests received during this reporting period,  
approximately 5 were informal requests.  
TekSavvy did not provide any information  
in response to any of those requests.**

Informal requests are requests that lack legal authority. This means that the agency making the request is not doing so under any law—they are simply asking for us to voluntarily provide them with the requested information.

The most common kind of informal requests received by TekSavvy are “jurisdiction requests”. A jurisdiction request is a document prepared by a law enforcement agent that is intended to gather information about the location of an end user, but not their identity. Jurisdiction requests are not court orders and because we are not required to disclose information in the absence of a court order, our policy is not to disclose information in response to jurisdiction requests.

Note that it is difficult for us to know the exact number of informal requests received during the relevant period. We say that we received “approximately” that many informal requests because these requests sometimes also come in the form of a phone call or email which are comparatively difficult for TekSavvy’s Data Protection Office to log and track.

#### **TekSavvy Policy**

**While Canada’s private sector privacy law (PIPEDA) may allow organizations to voluntarily disclose the personal information of its subscribers in certain circumstances, TekSavvy only makes voluntary disclosures in emergency circumstances.**

**After disclosure of a subscriber’s personal information has been made to a government agency, we notify the individual of the disclosure unless, of course, we are prohibited from doing so by law.**

4) **Administrative Requests**

**Of the 40 requests received during this reporting period, 1 request for information was an “administrative request”. TekSavvy provided the requested information in response to this request.**

This category describes any requests for information about TekSavvy’s customers made by government agencies exercising their administrative powers. Administrative powers are, broadly speaking, powers that are created by law and exercised by government agencies or government officials, ranging from tax audits to the issuance of licences and permits.

5) **Disclosures Made at the Initiative of the Organization**

**During this reporting period, TekSavvy did not perform any disclosures to government agencies at our own initiative.**

This category describes any disclosures TekSavvy made proactively. We only make voluntary disclosures if TekSavvy becomes aware of a real and imminent threat to someone’s wellbeing or when we are required by law to make such a disclosure. These kinds of circumstances do not arise often. When they do, they most frequently result from one of our agents overhearing physical violence during a phone interaction with our customers.

**TekSavvy Policy**

**We record all of our phone conversations with our customers, within the technical constraints of our systems. These recordings are retained for a period of two years to assist in the resolution of service-related or billing disputes.**

## Requests Received, by Jurisdiction

### 6) Provincial & Municipal Government Agencies

**Of the requests received during this reporting period,  
36 were requests for information from  
provincial and municipal government agencies.  
TekSavvy provided the requested information in response to  
20 of those requests.**

The number of requests that resulted in a disclosure in this particular category represent a 69% disclosure rate. This disclosure rate can be partially attributed to the fact that most “jurisdiction requests” received come from municipal or provincial government agencies. As previously mentioned, we do not make disclosures in response to jurisdiction requests.

### 7) Federal Government Agencies

**Of the requests received during this reporting period,  
4 request was for information from a federal government agency.  
TekSavvy provided the requested information  
in response to all 2 requests.**

Federal agencies have met with TekSavvy to discuss practices on both sides that respect investigative needs while protecting subscriber privacy. As a result, their requests typically result in disclosures if we have relevant information, which is reflected in the high rate of disclosure in response to these requests.

### 8) Non-Canadian Government Agencies

**Of the requests received during this reporting period,  
there were no requests for information from non-Canadian agencies.**

Ordinarily, TekSavvy rejects requests from foreign agencies, treating them similarly to informal requests. Non-Canadian law enforcement agencies are directed to work with a Canadian law enforcement agency.

#### **TekSavvy Policy**

**It is TekSavvy’s policy not to disclose subscriber information unless we are required to do so. Non-Canadian government agencies do not have authority to directly require us to disclose information to them. Instead, they must work with a Canadian law enforcement agency to request or order us to disclose information.**

## Types of Information Requested

The ISED Transparency Reporting Guidelines previously referred to in this report suggest breaking down requests by types of information sought. There were 5 suggested categories: basic identifying information, tracking data, transmission data, stored data and real-time interception.

**Basic identifying information** is any personal identifier and may include data points such as a subscriber's name, service address, billing address, telephone number or email address. All of the 40 requests received during this reporting period requested basic identifying information.

**Tracking data** is defined by ISED as data that relates to the location of a transaction, individual or thing. Within this meaning of tracking data, all of the requests received during the relevant period were for tracking data.

**Transmission data** may include the MAC address of a cable Internet modem, the login of a DSL modem and any other modem identifiers such as the make, model, and serial number of the device. Of the 40 requests for information received during the relevant period, 9 included a request for transmission data.

**Stored data** is any information that is collected and then kept for any period of time. All of the requests received during the relevant period were for stored data.

**Real-time interception** occurs when communications are intercepted as they occur, typically through the means of a wiretap. To date, TekSavvy has not taken part in the real-time interception of its subscribers' communications.

For an in depth explanation of what types of information TekSavvy collects and retains about its subscribers, and for more information about our privacy practices, please refer to our [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Privacy FAQs](#), our [Law Enforcement Guide](#), and [our response](#) to CitizenLab's 2017 request for information about our privacy and transparency practices.